

BLOW-UP AND GLOBAL SOLUTIONS TO L^p NORM PRESERVING NON-LOCAL FLOWS

LI MA, LIANG CHENG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study global existence and blow up properties to L^p norm preserving non-local heat flows. We first study two kinds of L^p norm preserving non-local flows and prove that these flows have the global solutions. Finally, we give a example to show that one kind of this heat flow may blow up in L^∞ norm though its L^p norm is preserved.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 35J60, 53C21, 58J05

Keywords: non-local heat flows, L^p norm preservation, global solution, blow up

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study global existence and blow up properties of positive solutions to L^p norm preserving non-local heat flows

$$\partial_t u^r = \Delta u + \lambda(t)u^s, \quad M \times (0, T)$$

on the Riemannian manifold (M, g) with the Cauchy data, where $T > 0$, $r > 0$, $s > 0$, and $\lambda(t)$ is chosen to make the L^p norm of the solution u be constant. We shall show that when $r = s = p - 1 > 0$, the global smooth solution exists. Assume for example, $M = \Omega$ is a bounded convex domain in R^n . When $r = 1$, $1 < s = p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, the global existence of positive is also true, however, when $r = 1$ and $s = p \geq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, we have blowup result. Our work is motivated by the recent excellent work of C.Caffarelli and F.Lin [5], where they have studied the global existence and regularity of L^2 norm preserving heat flow on bounded domains $\Omega \subset R^n$ such as

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + \lambda(t)u,$$

with

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx}.$$

Date: Oct. 25th, 2009.

* The research is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China 10631020 and SRFDP 20060003002.

They also extend the method to study a family of singularly perturbed systems of non-local parabolic equations and study the partition problem for eigenvalues. After that the authors studied the global existence, asymptotic behavior, stability and gradient estimates for two kinds of non-homogenous L^2 norm preserving heat flows in [19].

We remark that the non-local heat flow also naturally arises in geometry such that the flow preserves some L^p norm in the sense that some the geometrical quantity (such as length, area and so on) is preserved in the geometric heat flows. For more references on geometric flows such as harmonic map heat flows and non-local curve shortening flows, one may see [1], [17], [18] and [24].

We first study the following Yamabe type heat flow on a closed smooth Riemannian manifold M^n

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} u^{p-2}\partial_t u = \Delta u + \lambda(t)u^{p-1} & \text{in } M \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{in } M, \end{cases}$$

where $p > 1$, which has the positive solution and preserves the L^p the norm. We call equation (1) Yamabe type heat flow since it relates to following Yamabe flow on closed manifolds M^n which introduced by Hamilton

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = (s - R)g,$$

where R denotes the scalar curvature of metric g and s denotes the average scalar curvature. Write $g = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g_0$, $n \geq 3$, with u is a positive function and change time by a constant scale. Then (2) is equivalent to the following heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u^p}{\partial t} = L_{g_0}u + c(n)su^p,$$

where $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, $c(n) = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}$ and $L_{g_0}u = \Delta_{g_0}u - c(n)R_{g_0}u$. For more references about Yamabe problem and Yamabe flow, one may see [2], [3], [13], [14], and [30]. Now direct computation to (1) shows that

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M u^p dx = \int_M u^{p-1} u_t dx = - \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dx + \lambda(t) \int_M u^p dx.$$

Thus, one must have $\lambda(t) = \frac{\int_M |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_M g^p dx}$ to preserve the L^p norm. Without loss of generality we assume $\int_M g^p dx = 1$. Then we consider the following problem on closed smooth Riemannian manifold M^n

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} u^{p-2}\partial_t u = \Delta u + \lambda(t)u^{p-1} & \text{in } M \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{in } M, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda(t) = \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dx$, $p > 1$, $g(x) \geq 0$, $\int_M g^p dx = 1$ and $g \in C^1(M)$. Similar to the results in [30], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Problem (3) has a positive global smooth solution $u(t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, H^1(M)) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, L^\infty(M))$. Furthermore, $\lambda(t)$ is non-increasing function such that $\lambda(t) \rightarrow 0$ at exponential rate as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $u(t)$ converges (passing by a subsequence) smoothly to a positive constant.*

We next study the non-local heat flow on bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ which relates to the semilinear heat equations,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + \lambda(t)u^p & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases}$$

where $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, which has the positive solution and preserves the L^2 the norm. Likewise,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega u^2 dx = \int_\Omega uu_t = - \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \lambda(t) \int_\Omega u^{p+1} dx.$$

Thus, one must have $\lambda(t) = \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_\Omega u^{p+1} dx}$ to preserve the L^2 norm. Then we consider the following problem on bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + \lambda(t)u^p & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases}$$

where $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, $\lambda(t) = \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_\Omega u^{p+1} dx}$, $g(x) \geq 0$ in Ω , $\int_\Omega g^2 dx = 1$ and $g \in C^1(\Omega)$. Similar to theorem 1, we also have the global solution to problem (4).

Theorem 2. *Problem (4) has a global positive smooth solution*

$$u(t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)).$$

Moreover, one can take $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\lambda(t_i) \rightarrow \lambda_\infty > 0$, $u(x, t_i) \rightarrow u_\infty(x)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, $u(x, t_i) \rightarrow u_\infty(x)$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and u_∞ solves the equation $\Delta u_\infty + \lambda_\infty u_\infty^p = 0$ in Ω and $u_\infty = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ with $\int_\Omega |u_\infty|^2 dx = 1$.

Finally, we find a interesting phenomenon that not all the L^p norm preserving non-local flow has such good properties as problem (3). And we shall give an example following to show that some L^p norm preserving non-local flow must blow up in L^∞ norm. We study the following nonlinear heat flow on bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + \lambda(t)u^p & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases}$$

where $p \geq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, which has the positive solution and preserves the L^{p+1} norm. Likewise,

$$\frac{1}{p+1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} dx = \int_{\Omega} u^p u_t = -p \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \lambda(t) \int_{\Omega} u^{2p} dx.$$

Thus, one must have $\lambda(t) = \frac{p \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} u^{2p} dx}$ to preserve the L^{p+1} norm. Then we consider the following problem on bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + \lambda(t) u^p & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases}$$

where $p \geq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, $\lambda(t) = \frac{p \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} u^{2p} dx}$, $g(x) \geq 0$ in Ω and $g \in C^1(\Omega)$. We have the following blow up property for problem (5).

Theorem 3. *Suppose that Ω is a bounded smooth star-shaped domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the L^{p+1} norm preserving flow (5) must blow up with L^∞ norm in time interval $[0, \infty)$.*

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. In section 3 we prove the blowup result, Theorem 3.

2. GLOBAL SOLUTIONS

In this section we study the global existence property for the L^p energy preserving non-local flows. First we give the proof of theorem 1.

Proof of theorem 1. Firstly by the maximum principle, we know that $u(t) > 0$. Since

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dx &= - \int_M u_t \Delta u dx \\ &= - \int_M u_t (u^{p-2} u_t - \lambda(t) u^{p-1}) dx \\ &= - \int_M u^{p-2} (u_t)^2 dx + \frac{\lambda(t)}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M u^p dx \\ &= - \int_M u^{p-2} (u_t)^2 dx \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

we know that $\lambda(t)$ is non-increasing and uniformly bounded. we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dx &= - \int_M u_t \Delta u dx \\
 &= - \int_M (u^{2-p} \Delta u + \lambda(t) u) \Delta u dx \\
 &= - \int_M u^{2-p} (\Delta u)^2 dx + \lambda(t) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dx
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that at the maximum point of u , by setting $u_{\max}(t) = \max_{x \in M} u(x, t)$, we have

$$(u_{\max})_t \leq \lambda(t) u_{\max}(t).$$

Hence

$$(8) \quad \log \frac{u_{\max}(t)}{u_{\max}(0)} \leq \int_0^t \lambda(t) dt.$$

Likewise, setting $u_{\min}(t) = \min_{x \in M} u(x, t)$, we have

$$(u_{\min})_t \geq \lambda(t) u_{\min}(t).$$

Hence

$$(9) \quad \log \frac{u_{\min}(t)}{u_{\min}(0)} \geq \int_0^t \lambda(t) dt.$$

Combining with (8) and (9), we conclude the Harnack inequality

$$(10) \quad u_{\max}(t) \leq C u_{\min}(t).$$

Since $\int_M u^p(t) dx \equiv 1$, we get

$$(11) \quad 0 < C' \leq u(x, t) \leq C.$$

Now we have

$$(12) \quad \int_0^\infty \lambda(t) dt < C,$$

by (9) and (11). Note that the solution $u(x, t)$ is smooth for $t > 0$ by standard bootstrap argument. Hence $\lambda(t)$ of course is continuous and problem (3) has a global solution. Now one can take a sequence $\lambda(t_i)$ such that $\lambda(t_i) \rightarrow 0$ as $t_i \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, since $\lambda(t)$ is non-increasing, we know that $\lambda(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, by (7), (11) and the poincare inequality, we conclude that

$$(13) \quad \lambda(t) \leq \lambda(0) \exp(-Ct).$$

Now we integrate (6) with t , we get

$$\int_0^\infty \int_M u^{p-2} (u_t)^2 dx \leq C.$$

Hence we can take a subsequence $\{t_i\}$ with $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $u_i(x) = u(x, t_i)$ and we have

$$\begin{cases} u_i \rightarrow u_\infty & \text{in } L^p(M), \\ u_i \rightharpoonup u_\infty & \text{in } H_0^1(M), \\ \partial_t u_i \rightarrow 0 & \text{in } L^2(M). \end{cases}$$

Note that $u_\infty \in H_0^1(M)$ solves the equation $\Delta u_\infty = 0$ in M and satisfies $\int_M |u_\infty|^p dx = 1$. Hence u_∞ must be a positive constant. Combine with (10), (11) and (13), one can use the same argument in [30] theorem 1 to prove $u(t_i)$ converges to u_∞ in C^∞ sense. We omit the details here.

□

Next we give the proof of theorem 2.

Proof of theorem 2. Firstly by the maximum principle, we know that $u(t) > 0$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx &= - \int_\Omega u_t \Delta u dx \\ &= - \int_\Omega u_t (u_t - \lambda(t) u^p) dx \\ &= - \int_\Omega (u_t)^2 dx + \frac{\lambda(t)}{p+1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega u^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

we also have

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx &= - \int_\Omega u_t \Delta u dx \\ &= - \int_\Omega (\Delta u + \lambda(t) u^p) \Delta u dx \\ &= - \int_\Omega (\Delta u)^2 + p \lambda(t) \int_\Omega u^{p-1} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

We denote that $B = \int_\Omega u^{p+1} dx$. Then we have

$$\int_\Omega (u_t)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\lambda B) = \frac{\lambda}{p+1} \frac{d}{dt} B,$$

hence

$$\frac{2 \int_\Omega (u_t)^2 dx}{\lambda B} + \frac{d}{dt} (\log(\lambda B^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}})) = 0.$$

This implies that $\lambda B^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}}$ is non-increasing and hence

$$(16) \quad \lambda B^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}}(t) \leq C.$$

By Hölder inequality, we have

$$(17) \quad B(t) \geq c_0 \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} = c_0.$$

By (16), we have

$$(18) \quad \lambda(t) \leq C.$$

Furthermore, by (16), we conclude that

$$(19) \quad \|\nabla u\|_2 \leq C \|u\|_{p+1}.$$

Note that $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$. Hence by Sobolev inequality, we get

$$(20) \quad \|u\|_{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_2 \leq C \|u\|_{p+1} \leq C \|u\|_2^{\theta} \|u\|_{\frac{2n}{n-2}}^{1-\theta} = C \|u\|_{\frac{2n}{n-2}}^{1-\theta},$$

where $\theta = \frac{n}{(p+1)(n-1)}$. So we have

$$(21) \quad \|u\|_{p+1} \leq C \|u\|_{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \leq C.$$

We integrate (14) with t , we get

$$\int_0^\infty \int_M (u_t)^2 dx \leq C.$$

Note that the solution $u(x, t)$ is smooth for $t > 0$ by standard bootstrap argument. Hence $\lambda(t)$ of course is continuous and problem (4) has a global solution. Now we can take a subsequence $\{t_i\}$ with $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $u_i(x) = u(x, t_i)$ and we have

$$\begin{cases} u_i \rightarrow u_\infty & \text{in } L^2(\Omega), \\ u_i \rightharpoonup u_\infty & \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \partial_t u_i \rightarrow 0 & \text{in } L^2(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

by (18), (19) and (21). Hence one can take $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\lambda(t_i) \rightarrow \lambda_\infty$, $u(x, t_i) \rightarrow u_\infty(x)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, $u(x, t_i) \rightharpoonup u_\infty(x)$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and u_∞ solves the equation $\Delta u_\infty + \lambda_\infty u_\infty^p = 0$ in Ω and $u_\infty = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ with $\int_{\Omega} |u_\infty|^2 dx = 1$. Note that $\lambda_\infty \neq 0$ since $\Delta u_\infty = 0$ only has zero solution in this case which contradict to $\int_{\Omega} |u_\infty|^2 dx = 1$. $\square$

3. BLOW UP

This section is devoted to the proof of theorem 3. Our proof is based on the observation that the flow (5) would converge to elliptic equation $\Delta u + \lambda u^p = 0$ in Ω and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $p \geq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, with $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx = 1$ if we assume the L^∞ norm is uniformly bounded. But this equation only has the vanishing solution if Ω is bounded smooth star-shaped domain.

Proof of theorem 3. Firstly by the maximum principle, we know that $u(t) > 0$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx &= - \int_{\Omega} u_t \Delta u dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} u_t (u_t - \lambda(t) u^p) dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} (u_t)^2 dx + \frac{\lambda(t)}{p+1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} (u_t)^2 dx \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$(22) \quad \|u\|_{H^1} \leq C.$$

Now we argue by contradiction, supposing that $\|u\|_\infty$ is uniformly bounded on time interval $[0, +\infty)$. Since $\int_{\Omega} u^{2p} dx \geq C \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} dx = C$, we have

$$(23) \quad 0 \leq \lambda(t) = p \frac{\int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} u^{2p} dx} \leq Cp \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C.$$

Integrate (22) with t, we get

$$(24) \quad \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (u_t)^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g|^2 dx.$$

Hence

$$(25) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} (u_t)^2 dx \leq C.$$

Note that the solution $u(x, t)$ is smooth for $t > 0$ by standard bootstrap argument. Hence $\lambda(t)$ of course is continuous and problem (5) has a global solution. Then we can take a subsequence $\{t_i\}$ with $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $u_i(x) = u(x, t_i)$, $\lambda(t_i) \rightarrow \lambda_\infty$. By (22), (25) and the assumption

$\|u\|_\infty$ is uniformly bounded on time interval $[0, +\infty)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} u_i \rightarrow u_\infty & \text{in } L^{p+1}(\Omega), \\ u_i \rightharpoonup u_\infty & \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \partial_t u_i \rightarrow 0 & \text{in } L^2(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Hence $u_\infty \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ solves the equation $\Delta u_\infty + \lambda_\infty u_\infty^p = 0$ in Ω and satisfies $\int_\Omega |u_\infty|^{p+1} dx = 1$. This contradicts to the fact the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u^p = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$ and $p > \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, only has the solution $u \equiv 0$ in bounded smooth star-shaped domain (see [24]). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aubin, *Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry*, Springer Monogr. Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [2] B. Chow, *The Ricci flow on the 2-sphere*, *J. Differential Geometry* 33 (1991) 325-334.
- [3] B. Chow, *The Yamabe flow on locally conformally flat manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 45 (1992), no. 8, 1003-1014.
- [4] L. Caffarelli, F. H. Lin, *An optimal partition problem for eigenvalues*, *J. Sci. Comput.*, 31 (2007), 5-18.
- [5] C. Caffarelli, F. Lin *Nonlocal heat flows preserving the L^2 energy*, *Discrete and continuous dynamical systems*. 23, 49-64 (2009).
- [6] K.C.Chang, W.Y.Ding and R.Ye, *Finite time blow-up of the heat flow of harmonic maps from surfaces*, *JDG*, 36(1992)507-515.
- [7] F. Dickstein, *Blowup stability of solutions of the nonlinear heat equation with a large life span*, *J. Differential Equations* 223 (2) (2006), pp. 303-328.
- [8] Xianzhe Dai and Li Ma, *Mass under Ricci flow*, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 274, 65-80 (2007).
- [9] Y. Du and L. Ma, *Logistic type equations on $\mathbb{R}^N$ by a squeezing method involving boundary blow-up solutions*, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 64(2001), 107-124.
- [10] L. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate studies in Math., AMS, 1986
- [11] H. Fujita, *On the blowing-up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^\alpha + 1$* , *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* 13 (1966), pp. 109-124.
- [12] C. Gui and X. Wang, *Life span of solutions of the Cauchy problem for a semilinear heat equation*, *J. Differential Equations*, 115(1995)., 166-172.
- [13] R. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces*, *Mathematics and general relativity*, *Contemporary Math.*, Vol. 71, AMS, (1988), 237-262.
- [14] E. Hebey, *Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manifolds*, *Lect. Notes Math.*, 1365, Springer, 1996
- [15] O. Kavian, *Remarks on the time behaviour of a nonlinear diffusion equation*, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 4 (1987), pp. 423-452.
- [16] T. Lee and W. Ni, *Global existence, large time behaviour and life span of solutions of a semilinear parabolic Cauchy problem*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 333, No. 1 (1992), 365-378.

- [17] L.Ma, A.Q.Zhu. *On a length preserving curve flow*. Preprint.
- [18] L.Ma, L.Cheng. *A non-local area preserving curve flow*. Preprint.
- [19] L.Ma, L.Cheng, *non-local heat flows and gradient estimates on closed manifolds*. J. Evol. Eqs., 2009.
- [20] Li Ma, *Gradient estimates for a simple elliptic equation on complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 241(2006)374-382.
- [21] N. Mizoguchi and E. Yanagida, *Critical exponents for the blow-up of solutions with sign changes in a semilinear parabolic equation*, Math. Ann. 307 (4) (1997), pp. 663-675.
- [22] N. Mizoguchi and E. Yanagida, *Blowup and life span of solutions for a semilinear parabolic equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 29 (6) (1998), pp. 1434-1446.
- [23] P. Li, S.T. Yau, *On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator*, Acta Math. 156 (1986) 153-01.
- [24] M. Struwe, *Variational methods*, 3rd edition, Springer, 2000.
- [25] R.Schoen, *Analytic aspects for Harmonic maps*, Seminar in PDE, edited by S.S.Chern, Springer, 1984.
- [26] R.Schoen and S.T.Yau, *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, international Press, 1994.
- [27] Cazenave, Thierry; Dickstein, Flávio; Weissler, Fred B. *Global existence and blowup for sign-changing solutions of the nonlinear heat equation*, J. Differential Equations 246 (2009), no. 7, 2669-2680.
- [28] F.B. Weissler, *Local existence and nonexistence for semilinear parabolic equations in L^p* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. 29 (1980), pp. 79-102.
- [29] F.B. Weissler, *Rapidly decaying solutions of an ordinary differential equation with applications to semilinear elliptic and parabolic partial differential equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 91 (1986), pp. 247-266.
- [30] R. Ye, *Global existence and convergence of the Yamabe flow*, J. Differential Geom. 39 (1994), 35-50.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100084, CHINA

E-mail address: lma@math.tsinghua.edu.cn